Higher FAR and height can be availed of through the preparation and approval of comprehensive Integrated scheme.

Violation of Master Plan and building bye-laws by IIPM

582. SHRI DHARAM PAL SABHARWAL: Will the Minister of URBAN DEVELOPMENT be pleased to state:

(a) whether it is a fact that Indian Institute of Planning and Management (IIPM) Qutab Institutional Area, New Delhi have violated Master Plan and building bye-laws by misusing the premises for purely commercial activities;

(b) whether DDA have not taken any action against the IIPM inspite of several complaints received against them for continued commercial activities; and

(c) if so, the reasons therefor and the steps Government have taken against the IIPM for violating Master Plan, building bye-laws and lease-deed agreement with DDA?

THE MINISTER OF STATE IN THE MINISTRY OF URBAN DEVELOPMENT (SHRI AJAY MAKEN): (a) Yes, Sir. A land measuring 0.5 acre was allotted to Canara Bank Relief and Welfare Society in Qutab Institutional Area for social and charitable purpose. However, the Society illegally subletted the entire building constructd on the said land to Indian Institute of Planning and Management (IIPM) in contravention of Master Plan and Building-Bye-Laws and terms of allotment. IIPM was using the building for commercial activities and, therefore, the properties were sealed on 15.11.2006. However, the Hon'ble High Court of Delhi vide their orders dated 16.11.2006 granted two months time to vacate the premises and stop the misuser. The properties were de-sealed on 22.11.2006 for two months. Thereafter, the Hon'ble Supreme Court extended the time to vacate the premises upto 15.5.2007. The Apex Court again extended the time to vacate the impugned properties upto 15.6.2007. Thereafter, these properties were re-sealed on 16.6.2007 and are still lying sealed.

(b) and (c) DDA had determined the lease and also got the building sealed for misuse. FIR was also lodged against the Society and currently the matter is under investigation by the Delhi Police.

Package for Mumbai Municipal Corporation

583. SHRI RAJEEV CHANDRASEKHAR: Will the Minister of URBAN DEVELOPMENT be pleased to state:

(a) whether Central Government have recently announced a package for the improvement of infrastructure in Mumbai Municipal Corporation area;

(b) if so, the details thereof and the time likely to be taken for the completion of the project;

(c) whether the State Government of Maharashtra and Mumbai Municipal Corporation would be required to contribute financially in the project; and

(d) if so, the extent thereof?